	1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA JOHNSTOWN DIVISION
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
4	
5	Plaintiff, Case No: 14-cr-23
6	vs. Johnstown, Pennsylvania October 14, 2014
7	JOSEPH D. MAURIZIO, JR.,
8	Defendant.
9	
10	TRANSCRIPT OF ARRAIGNMENT PROCEEDINGS BEFORE KEITH A. PESTO
11	DISTRICT MAGISTRATE JUDGE
12	
13	A-P-P-E-A-R-A-N-C-E-S
14	FOR THE GOVERNMENT: Stephanie L. Haines, AUSA United States Attorney's Office
15	Penn Traffic Building, Ste. 200 319 Washington Street
16	Johnstown, PA 15901
17	FOR THE DEFENDANT: Steven P. Passarello, Esq. Passarello & Sisto
18	616 Hileman Street Altoona, PA 16601
19	COURT REPORTER: Kimberly K. Spangler, RPR
20	United States District Court Penn Traffic Building, Ste. 204
21	319 Washington Street Johnstown, PA 15901
22	(814) 536-9999
23	
24	
25	

```
1
                         PROCEEDINGS
 2
       (The proceedings convened on October 14, 2014, at 9:30
 3
       a.m.)
 4
               THE COURT: Before we begin, can I see counsel at
 5
       sidebar.
 6
               MS. HAINES: Your Honor, we would request that it
 7
       be on the record.
 8
               THE COURT: Yeah, I'm going to talk with counsel,
       come on over. It's off the record.
 9
10
               (Off-the-record discussion at sidebar.)
11
               (The following proceedings were held in open
12
       court:)
13
               THE COURT: Are both counsel ready?
               MR. PASSARELLO: Yes, Your Honor.
14
15
               MS. HAINES: Yes, Your Honor.
16
               THE COURT: Let's go on the record. It's a
17
       little after 9:30 on Tuesday October 14, 2014. We're in
18
       Courtroom A in Johnstown for the arraignment in the
19
       United States of America v. Joseph Maurizio, Jr.,
20
       Criminal Number 14-23.
21
               The government is present represented by Attorney
22
       Haines, and the defendant is present represented by
23
       Attorney Passarello.
24
               Sir, since the time we were here last, a grand
25
       jury has returned an indictment against you, and this
```

```
1
       proceeding is an arraignment at which you formally would
 2
       enter a plea of not guilty.
 3
               Attorney Passarello, do you want a reading of the
 4
       indictment or have you received a copy? Would you like
 5
       me to summarize it?
 6
               MR. PASSARELLO: No, Your Honor. I've received a
 7
       copy, read it, and reviewed it with my client, so we
 8
       would waive reading.
 9
               THE COURT: Very well. All right. Have you had
10
       enough time to go over the provisions of the indictment
11
       for purposes of entering a plea?
12
               MR. PASSARELLO: I have, Your Honor.
13
               THE COURT: And you would enter a plea of not
14
       quilty?
15
               MR. PASSARELLO: Plead not guilty.
16
               THE COURT: And do you want a trial by judge or
17
       by jury?
18
               MR. PASSARELLO: A trial by jury.
19
               THE COURT: Very well. And I noticed that you
20
       have filled out the standard motion for the extension of
21
       time to file pretrial motions.
22
               MR. PASSARELLO: That is correct, Your Honor.
23
               THE COURT: And I've gone ahead and granted that.
24
               MR. PASSARELLO: Thank you.
25
               THE COURT: All right. I'll set it down for
```

Judge Gibson's attention. The date of your trial, sir, is governed by the Speedy Trial Act which requires that you should be tried within about two, three months from today's date. You can't be rushed into trial unless you wish to waive -- you have at least 30 days to prepare and, actually, your counsel has opted to, under the local rules, ask for additional time to file pretrial motions, which pushes the start date of trial back.

At the same time, the case can't linger out forever, and after pretrial motions are decided Judge Gibson will probably have a conference with counsel, maybe even before pretrial motions are decided he'll have a conferences with counsel and set an actual trial date.

Now, the other matter that was left open when this matter was still at the complaint stage was the question of risk of flight. I received -- what I said at the last proceeding was that I was not satisfied with the information that I had concerning possible risk of flight. Since there was evidence in the record of substantial ability to travel, a simple home detention order would not be satisfactory, and I asked counsel to propose some kind of plan that would ensure that there was no risk of flight.

I received some documents, which I really haven't

looked at because they weren't accompanied with anything that I wanted, which was a plan.

So, Counsel, Attorney Passarello, do you have some kind of plan that you're proposing at this point?

MR. PASSARELLO: I do, Your Honor. If I may.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. PASSARELLO: We provided the Court with the financial information that we had at the time that the Court requested it, and we also have Dick Stern here today to testify as to certain other accounts.

I would indicate to the Court that my plan would be as follows, my request: Would be a freezing of the accounts that Father Joe has access to, with of course the caveat that we would be allowed potentially to petition this Court if we needed more funds for his defense.

Also, we have filled out the forms and taken the necessary steps for a property bond. We have got the appraisal for the Court; we have the title search for the Court; we have the deed for the Court. I have to have Father Joe fill out the one form that the Court needs.

My position on the plan is simple. Obviously, passports's been taken. Accounts, there are numerous accounts. I will indicate to the Court there are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

numerous -- the ones that we have given you are the ones that he has access to still. The other accounts that we have would be testified to by Mr. Stern that indicates he does not have access to most of those accounts, and that if he signs a letter three of those accounts will remove his access to those. They are all tied to the church and the church accounts. My plan would be to freeze them. My plan would be to take his passport. My plan would be to use his property as a property bond. THE COURT: Okay. When you say "tied to the church" do you mean they're church accounts that he has check-signing abilities --MR. PASSARELLO: Yes. He is one of three signatories on those accounts. They need two. Dick Stern, I believe on most of them, is the other one. THE COURT: Can he just be taken off those accounts? MR. PASSARELLO: Yes. THE COURT: Oh, okay. MR. PASSARELLO: If he writes a letter, which we would do right away. He has already been taken off most of them, with the exception of three. We can do that right away. So that would be my position. That would be my plan.

If the Court wants to hear from Dick Stern on the

other accounts that are there, he will tell you basically what I have just told you.

The two accounts that he has access to that have most of the funds, which is in upward of I believe \$900,000, are at Stifel Nicolaus Financial Services in Altoona and then at 1st Summit Bank. Those are the accounts he still has access to. Those are the accounts that our plan would be, if the Court was concerned about those financial assets, to freeze.

THE COURT: All right. Have you discussed this at all with the government? I believe in my last order I said you should call and the two of you should talk it over, because from the government's point of view -- I am sure you have your own investigative resources, but if the proposal is that he divest himself of any control over any assets that could be used to fund flight, you would want to be -- the government would wish to be satisfied that that, in fact, was the case and there weren't other sources of assets out there. So have you two talked about what those assets are?

MS. HAINES: No, Your Honor, we have not.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. HAINES: And I would say the position of the government is a couple things: First of all, I'm not sure what documents you've been provided with. I have

not seen them, and the government would like to see them. If the Court has been provided them by defense counsel we are entitled to a copy.

Also, if I understand what the defense counsel is saying, is that they would be willing to do this. Well, it sounds to me like it hasn't happened yet.

THE COURT: No. I think that's what he's saying, that if I found it to be satisfactory they'll sign the paperwork. If I don't find it satisfactory there's no point to doing the paperwork.

What I'm curious from your point of view is do you have any information or suspicion that there might be assets out there? I mean, I presume --

MS. HAINES: Yes.

THE COURT: -- all counsel act in good faith all the time. That's one of the things that makes this system work. But in the normal course of business we don't trust witnesses, defendants to tell us -- you know, you're accusing me of a crime, okay, I'm going to tell you everything that you need to know. And that just isn't the way the system works. So I rely on counsel to discuss what investigative paths you might wish to take to satisfy yourself that that is, in fact, the total disclosure.

MS. HAINES: We do not believe that is the total

amount of money that is accessible. In fact, we also have information and evidence that a recent transaction has occurred in excess of \$100,000 out of a separate account, that I have not heard about from the defense counsel, by the defendant's power of attorney. And that about \$127,000 was taken out of another account of the defendant's and has now been cashed.

And we have no idea where that \$127,000-plus is, other than possibly in the hands of the power of attorney. And this is a brand new account we just learned about through our investigation, which is ongoing as we discover additional accounts out there with the defendant's name on it, and the defendant having single signature authority over it. So I believe there's more than what has been proffered by the defense and --

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HAINES: -- would be happy to talk to the defense counsel about that.

THE COURT: All right. So the short answer to my question have you taken any investigative steps is yes?

MS. HAINES: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. So it sounds to me like at this point it would be less than fruitful for me to hear alternative presentations from the two of you. It

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

24

25

court:)

sounds to me like the best thing would be for the two of you to sit down and make up a list of what it is that's out there that needs to be frozen.

So let's take a short recess. I'd like to see counsel at sidebar over here for a second to see how long that might take. This is not on the record. This is just over here.

(Off-the-record discussion at sidebar.)

(The following proceedings were held in open

THE COURT: We've just had a discussion off the

12 record at which I was explaining to counsel why my wife,

these financial conditions, I think that instead of

and not I, manage the money in the house. But as to

15 having testimony about this account, this account, this

16 account, this account, and then question, question,

17 question, that the better path would be for counsel to

confer with your financial expert and with your agents

about the nature of any financial accounts, the nature

of any controls necessary to divest that as a possible

21 source of assets that would make it possible for the

22 defendant to flee, and whether that might lead to some

23 other investigative problem.

So I would like to recess at this time, have counsel confer about the existence of accounts. And it

1 sounds like both of you are 90 percent on the same page 2 anyway, but the government also wants to do due 3 diligence. So then within 10 days of the defendant 4 disclosing any assets or the existence of any accounts 5 that might contain assets, if you've checked them out 6 and find that they don't lead to other things that raise 7 red flags, if the two of counsel would let me know and 8 then I can make a final decision. I don't need to hear 9 testimony from experts that would be much better 10 spending their time talking with counsel, because you 11 guys know the financial end of things and I don't. 12 All right. Is that satisfactory to both of 13 counsel? Attorney Passarello? 14 MR. PASSARELLO: Yes, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Attorney Haines? 16 MS. HAINES: That's fine, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: All right. Then we will recess this 18 matter with counsel to go confer. 19 One thing that I didn't cover on the record was 20 Rule 16 material. Attorney Haines, I presume given the 21 nature of the case and the voluminous records, that Rule 22 16 is going to take a long time? MS. HAINES: And I've talked with the defense 23 24 counsel about getting together and making that happen. 25 THE COURT: Okay. Sir, Rule 16 is a discovery

rule that requires the government to turn over documentary evidence and some statements and scientific tests and things that they intend to use in their case against you. They don't have to give you everything they intend to use, but they do have to give you some evidence, and then your attorney can go dig for other stuff. That's proceeding normally.

Oftentimes, there is three sheets of paper, and that gets turned over at this stage. This isn't that kind of a case, so that's going to be an ongoing thing. Your counsel's aware of what's going on and he knows how the drill works.

All right. Now, in the meantime, as counsel has explained, it's going to take about 10 days for the government and your counsel to go over these financial records so that they're satisfied that they've presented everything that I need to know and there isn't anything out there that I wouldn't know. In the meantime you'll be detained.

Please remember my advice to you at the initial appearance, which is that anything you say could be used as evidence against you. The exception to that is statements that you make to counsel or people that your attorney tells you are part of the defense team.

All right. We're in recess.

(Proceedings concluded at 10:20 a.m.) CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER I, Kimberly K. Spangler, Federal Official Court Reporter, in and for the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania, do hereby certify that pursuant to Section 753, Title 28, United States Code, that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the stenographically reported proceedings held in the above-entitled matter, and that the transcript page format is in conformance with the regulations of the Judicial Conference of the United States. Dated this ____ day of ____ 2014 KIMBERLY K. SPANGLER, RPR FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER